UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEHAN ABDUR-RAHEEM,

Plaintiff,

DECISION AND ORDER

07-CV-6247F

v.

S.J. WENDERLICH,

Detendant.	

Pretrial motions were referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Dkt. #61) recommending that this Court deny plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint. No objections of any kind were filed to that Report and Recommendation and, therefore, on October 18, 2012, this Court issued an Order (Dkt. #62) accepting the Report and Recommendation and denying plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint.

Plaintiff now moves (Dkt. #67) -- almost a year after the Court's decision and order -- to reconsider that order denying plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint.

The motion is untimely and, for that reason alone, I would deny the motion. Rule 7(d)(3), Local Rules of Civil Procedure. The procedure for challenging a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is to file objections to that Report. No objections were filed.

But, in spite of the procedural flaw, I have considered the merits. I see no basis whatsoever to reconsider my prior decision which adopted the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that there is no basis to file a second amended complaint.

This case was transferred to United States District Judge Frank P. Geraci, Jr, when he joined

the Court. Therefore, any successive motion to file a second amended complaint needs to be

addressed to Judge Geraci.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's motion for this Court to reconsider its Decision of October 18, 2012 denying

plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint is in all respects denied. Any new, successive

motion for similar relief, must be addressed to the newly assigned District Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

Dated: Rochester, New York

October 21, 2013.